

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | T | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|-----------------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/621,765 | | 07/17/2003 | Martin Kowalski | F-7890 | 1720 | |
| 28107 | 28107 7590 07/14/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| JORDAN A | AND HA | AMBURG LLP | JULES, FRANTZ F | | | |
| 122 EAST 4 | 2ND ST | REET | ADTIBUT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SUITE 4000 |) | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| NEW YOR | K, NY | 10168 | 3617 | 3617 | | |
| | | • | DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | \longrightarrow | 4 11 41 | | A (! 44 -) | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| ₽. | | | Application No. Applicant(s) | | | | | | | |
| | | | 10/621,765 | | KOWALSKI, MARTIN | | | | | |
| | Office Action Summary | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | | Frantz F. Ju | | 3617 | | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communic | cation app | ears on the o | over sheet with the c | correspondence ac | idress | | | | |
| THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO resions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- reperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply were reply received by the Office later than three months af ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | CATION. of 37 CFR 1.13 unication.) days, a reply tutory period w will by statute. | 36(a). In no event within the statuto ill apply and will ocause the applic | t, however, may a reply be tin by minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | ły. xommunication. | | | | |
| _ | Passassive to communication(s) file | d on 18 M | av 2005 | | | | | | | |
| , | Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>18 May 2005</u> . This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2a)□ 3)□ | | • — | | | osecution as to the | e merits is | | | | |
| الــا(د | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| • | | nlication | | | • | | | | | |
| וצשו(ד | 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-7 is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | | |
| 5)[] | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | | | |
| • | 5) | | | | | | | | | |
| 7) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 8)[| Claim(s) are subject to restrict | tion and/o | r election re | quirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🗌 | The specification is objected to by the | e Examine | er. | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a)∏ acc | epted or b)[|] objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) | The oath or declaration is objected to | by the Ex | kaminer. Not | e the attached Office | e Action or form P | TO-152. | | | | |
| Priority | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim : □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: | for foreign | priority und | er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a | ı)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Attachme | nt(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3) 🔲 Info | ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Promation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date | PTO-948) PTO/SB/08) | • | Paper No(s)/Mail D Notice of Informal Other: | | ГО-152) | | | | |
| | T-1 | | | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/621,765 Page 2

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McCormick et al (US 4,078,724).

McCormick et al disclose in fig. 7 a concrete railroad tie comprising a rail (12), an elastic rail support (16), lateral raised shoulders (10) and a support region supporting the plate 24 disposed between a base of said rail and said raised shoulders, guide plates (24, 26) including twisting prevention devices (32) disposed in said supporting region, a support area for supporting said guide plates (24, 26), said support area being essentially flat without continuous deep depressions beneath said guide plates.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Helmut et al (DE 38 20 243 A1) in view of Mohr (US 6,488,215 B2).

Application/Control Number: 10/621,765 Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

Helmut et al discloses a wooden sleeper comprising a rail (6), an elastic rail support (4), lateral raised shoulders (3a) and a support region disposed between a base of said rail and said raised shoulders, guide plates (60) disposed in said supporting region, a support area for supporting said guide plates (24, 26), said support area being essentially flat without continuous deep depressions beneath said guide plates.

Helmut et al disclose all of the features as listed above but does not disclose a two block concrete railroad tie comprising twisting prevention devices. The general concept of providing a concrete railroad tie including twisting prevention devices to a rail fixing assembly is well known in the art as illustrated by Mohr. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Helmut et al to include the use of a two block concrete railroad tie comprising twisting prevention devices in his advantageous railroad tie assembly as taught by Mohr in order to facilitate pre-alignment of the railroad ties.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 05/18/2005 have been fully considered but they are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

Thim, Oberweiler et al are cited to show related rail comprising guide plates with twisting prevention devices.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,765 Page 4

Art Unit: 3617

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (703) 272-6681. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano can be reached on (703) 272-6684. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frantz F. Jules Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

FFJ

July 9, 2005